

No physical changes to the environment are anticipated as a result of the proposed revisions. Therefore, there is no possibility that this project may have a significant effect on the environment and is exempt from CEQA.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

Additional information pertaining to this notice of exemption may be obtained by reviewing the second page of this document and by contacting the Environmental Coordinator, 976 Osos St., Rm. 200, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408, (805) 781-5600.

Brian Pedrotti

805-788-2788

Lead Agency Contact Person

Telephone

If filed by applicant:	
1.	Attach certified document of exemption finding
2.	Has a notice of exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/>

Signature *B. D. Pedrotti*

Date Prepared June 24, 2014,
adopted by Board of Supervisors
on

Name (Print) Brian Pedrotti Title: Planner III

REVIEW FOR EXEMPTION / ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

Project Title & No: County General Plan Amendment - Chapter 3, Resource Management System, in the Inland and Coastal Framework for Planning (LRP2008-00013)

Pursuant to section 15061 of the State California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines, the preliminary review of a project includes a determination as to whether a project is exempt from CEQA. This checklist represents a summary of this project's review for exemption.

- | | <u>YES</u> | <u>NO</u> |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| 1. Does this project fall within any exempt class as listed in sections 15301 through 15329 of the State CEQA Guidelines? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 2. Is there a reasonable possibility that the project could have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 3. Is the project inconsistent with any Federal, State, or local law or administrative requirement relating to the environment? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 4. Will the project involve substantial public controversy regarding environmental issues? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5. Does the project have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 6. Does the project have the potential to achieve short-term environmental goals to the disadvantage of achieving long-term environmental goals? (A short-term impact on the environment is one which occurs in a relatively brief, definitive period of time while long-term impacts will endure well into the future.) | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 7. Does the project have adverse impacts which are individually insignificant, but cumulatively significant? Cumulatively significant means that the incremental effects of an individual project are substantially adverse when viewed in connection with the effects of past projects, the effects of other current projects, and the effects of probable future projects. | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 8. Does the project have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly? | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

On the basis of this initial evaluation, I find that the proposed project does not have the potential to cause a significant effect on the environment, and is therefore exempt from CEQA.

Steve McMasters

June 24, 2014

for Ellen Carroll, Environmental Coordinator

Date